

Supreme Court Asked to Overturn Major 2015 Ruling Legalizing Same-Sex Marriage

By Cole Harrison



Look, for ten years we've watched judges rewrite America's rules. They told us what marriage means. They told us what we have to accept. Remember when they promised this would never affect religious freedom? Yeah, about that.

Something big is brewing. The Supreme Court that overturned Roe v. Wade might be ready for another shock to the system. State after state is pushing back. Major churches are taking stands. And honestly? The timing couldn't be more perfect.

From The Post Millennial:

The Supreme Court of the United States has been formally asked to take up a case that asks the court to overrule Obergefell v Hodges, the 2015 ruling that extended legal marriage rights to same-sex couples.

Former Kentucky county clerk Kim Davis, who was jailed for six days in 2015 after refusing to issue marriage licenses to same sex couples on religious grounds, is appealing the \$100,000 jury decision on the basis of emotional damage as well as \$260,000 in legal costs.

Here's where it gets interesting. Kim Davis just asked the Supreme Court to overturn same-sex marriage. Remember her? The Kentucky clerk who went to

jail for six days because she wouldn't sign marriage licenses? She's back. And this time, she's not alone.

Davis is fighting a \$360,000 judgment against her. But this isn't really about money. Her lawyer calls her "the first individual in the Republic's history who was jailed for following her religious convictions regarding the historic definition of marriage." That's quite a title. Her petition says the 2015 ruling was "egregiously wrong." Strong words, but are they wrong?

A Decade of Constitutional Discord

Talk about a movement nobody saw coming. Nine states just introduced laws to block LGBTQ marriage licenses. The Southern Baptist Convention—that's America's biggest Protestant group—voted to make overturning the ruling their top priority. This isn't fringe stuff anymore.

Want to hear something wild? Republican support for same-sex marriage crashed from 55% to 41% in just four years. That's a 14-point nosedive. People are waking up to what this ruling really means for religious freedom. Funny how that "settled law" isn't looking so settled anymore.

The Roberts Court's Unfinished Business

Chief Justice Roberts saw this coming. Back in 2015, he called the gay marriage ruling "an act of will, not legal judgment." He said it had "no basis in the Constitution." Harsh? Maybe. But look what happened next. Religious people got sued. They got fined. Some got jailed. Roberts warned us about "serious questions about religious liberty." Boy, was he right.

Justice Thomas went even further. He straight-up said the Court should reconsider all these made-up rights. With six conservative justices now, they've

got the votes. They already proved they'll overturn bad precedents with *Roe v. Wade*. Why would this be different?

Think about it. Both rulings used the same shaky legal theory. Both took power from voters. Both caused decades of fighting. If the Court uses the same logic on marriage that it used on abortion, we know what happens next.

Here's the thing—existing marriages would stay valid. Congress passed a law in 2022 making sure of that. But states would get to decide their own marriage laws again. Before the Court stepped in, 35 states had already said no to same-sex marriage. The people had spoken. Judges just didn't like what they said.

Get ready for the meltdown of the century. Democrats will scream about rights being taken away. They'll organize protests. They'll demand Court-packing. But here's what they won't admit—the Court would just be giving power back to voters. You know, that whole democracy thing they claim to love? Their fury will be something to see. But guess what? They can't stop judges who actually follow the Constitution.