

February 2, 2024

Judd Garrett - *The Fix is In*

Bill Schoettler - *The Advantages of Diversity*

Sidney Secular - *Tendering Transgendering to the Tender of Age*

George Friedman - *U.S. and Iranian Intentions*

The Fix is In

By: Judd Garrett

Objectivity is the Objective

January 28, 2024

Do we need any more proof? Is any new evidence required? What they are doing to former President Donald Trump in this election cycle is proof that the last presidential election was corrupt. Trump was just ordered to pay E Jean Carroll \$83 million in a defamation lawsuit for defending himself in the media against her politically motivated and baseless accusation of sexual assault. When Joe Biden was accused of sexual assault by Tara Reid, he accused her of lying, and nothing happened to him. When Bill Clinton was accused of sexual assault by multiple women, Hillary called those women “*trailer park trash*” and “*bimbos*”, and she had to pay nothing. Yet Trump has to pay \$83 million in this defamation suit.

The jury ruled in Ms. Carroll’s favor even though she didn’t bring in a shred of evidence to support her accusation. She produced no witnesses, no surveillance video, nothing, except her word which she changed on numerous occasions. She didn’t know the day, the month, or even the year of the alleged assault. She changed which year it supposedly happened several times, giving Trump no way to disprove the accusation by accounting for his whereabouts. She said she was wearing a Donna Karan dress that had not been produced by the designer yet. Her entire story is eerily similar to a 2012 episode of *Law & Order: Special Victims Unit*. In a 1993 column of hers, she joked about having sex on the fourth floor of Bergdorf’s, the place where she claims Trump assaulted her. She only came forward with these accusations in 2019 – 25 years after the alleged incident occurred, and it happened to coincide with the release of her new book.

In this case, Donald Trump was not “*innocent until proven guilty*”, he was not even “*guilty until proven innocent*” because the judge did not allow Trump to mount a defense to prove his innocence. After Judge Lewis A Kaplan handed down his unprecedented ruling, Donald Trump’s lawyer, Alina Habba said,

“Before I walked into court, that judge decided that every single defense that President Trump had, we were not allowed to raise in front of the jury... There was no proof, and I couldn’t prove that she didn’t bring in the dress, there was no DNA. There were no experts. My experts were denied to come in... They didn’t let me bring up that Reid Hoffman funded Ms. Kaplan [Carroll’s lawyer, not related to the judge]. And you know what we got in there? My witness who was her friend said that she is a drug addict and the drug addict is herself. That friend... was paid for by Ms. Kaplan’s firm and that is disgusting. That is a violation of everything I stand for and that is why I stand with Trump... we are seeing a violation of our justice system.”

Even if Donald Trump was guilty of defaming Ms. Carroll, how did he come up with the preposterous \$83 million? How is that amount remotely justified? How did Trump calling her a liar cause her to lose \$83 million dollars, especially since the publicity of making this accusation against Trump most likely improved her book sales?

Last year, Fox News paid Dominion \$750 million in a defamation lawsuit because some of their news hosts suggested that their voting machines had malfunctioned and changed votes from Trump to Biden. Wasn’t that the same accusation that Democrats like Barbara Boxer and Donna Brazile made after John Kerry lost in 2004, and Hillary Clinton made after her 2016 Presidential election defeat, yet they were never sued for defamation? Before the 2020 election, NBC ran a story showing how easily voting machines can be hacked, and CBS did the same before the 2016 election, but neither of those news outlets were sued for defamation like Fox was. In fact, last week, an IT expert demonstrated in a Georgia courtroom how easily voting machines can be hacked, yet we still use those machines and any conservative who questions the integrity of those machines will be forced to pay millions of dollars in a defamation lawsuit.

The outcomes of all these trials that Trump is facing are predetermined. This is how rigged the system is against Trump. Fani Willis, the Fulton County DA who

indicted Trump on election interference charges in Georgia, hired her slip-and-fall lawyer boyfriend to lead the prosecution against Trump. She has publicly prioritized this case and pledged to “*get Trump*” when she campaigned for her office, but she hired someone completely unqualified to head up the prosecution, someone without any prosecutorial experience. If she was determined to get Trump – a mentality that is corrupt in itself – she would have appointed the most qualified prosecutor in the state to ensure that Trump was convicted. But she chose her unqualified boyfriend because she knew that even if she appointed the county dogcatcher to prosecute the case, Trump would be convicted by the rigged jury. Trump’s guilt is a *fait accompli*, a done deal.

If they are willing and able to rig the judicial system against Trump to prevent him from becoming President then they would easily rig the electoral system against him, especially after they changed election security laws to make it extraordinarily easy to commit voter fraud. Do you think the party that impeached Trump twice, falsely accused him of colluding with the Russians to get him thrown out of office, raided his home, indicted him on 91 charges, and is threatening to send him to jail for the rest of his life, would not stuff the ballot box to defeat Trump if given the opportunity? Of course, they would. We are watching election interference and rigging the election right in front of our eyes.

Former President Donald Trump is being forced to go through an unprecedented number of indictments, lawsuits, and trials for any politician, yet former President Bill Clinton, whose name is all over pedophile Jeffrey Epstein’s client list and flight logs, has not been indicted even once, and will never be indicted. He will not be arrested, or even questioned for his connection to the child trafficking ring. There is significantly more tangible evidence that Bill Clinton raped young girls on Epstein’s Island than there is that Donald Trump physically harmed E Jean Carroll in any way, but nothing will ever happen to Bill Clinton because the system is rigged. And that more than anything else is the reason why Donald Trump has become so popular. He is the mirror that exposes to the country the hideousness of how much our political system in Washington, DC has been corrupted. And that corruption has risen to not only stop Trump, but destroy him, and in the process, the corrupt system is exposing itself to the world.

The Advantages of Diversity

By: Bill Schoettler
January 30, 2024

The San Francisco Chronicle just released a story that the wonderful Governor of California, Gavin Newsom, appointed a disproportionate percentage of white people last year. Of California's 39-plus million people, of which (per the Chronicle) 34% are white, Gavin's appointments were 52% white. To be fair, California has a law that prohibits using race as an exclusive prerequisite for employment. BUT, the Democrat Party is big on this subject and feels that its political constitution requires racial profiling to be one of the few paramount requirements for employment.

So, I feel that a careful exploration of this subject is appropriate. Race, after all, is an inherent characteristic that is, unfortunately, beyond the influence of personal choice. We do not choose our parents, have no way to change our basic DNA, and, to the everlasting disappointment of some of our politicians, are unable to change anything other than our sex (it says this here in small print).

What's a person gonna do if he/she/they/them wish to join a race different from the one into which they were born? To be honest, if we look carefully at the subject of "RACE" we find all sorts of complications. Let us begin with the subject of DNA. This is called the "*carrier of genetic information*". DNA is a key part of reproduction in which genetic heredity occurs through the passing down of DNA from parent or parents to offspring. In plain English, DNA is the genetic history of each person and the genetic plan for the person's development. DNA identifies a person's ancestors and what kind of physical development that person will have.

DNA does not determine how a person will learn, what they will learn, how smart they will be, how good a ball player they will be, how good a doctor they will become, or anything else. All those outcomes are determined by a combination of DNA plus education plus personality development plus circumstances beyond the

control of the individual plus (and this is most important), plus the choices that the individual makes.

There is a reality here that we should not forget...and understand. The human animal can reproduce based on the design of its reproductive organs. That is, regardless of race, human animals can all reproduce with each other. To put it another way, what we tend to call a “*race*” is more likely to be a combination of racial heritages than a single, pure, unadulterated “*race*”. For example, looking at some of the native people from Africa we can see their skin color is black. They also have facial characteristics and hair characteristics that are different from, say white Europeans. However, when looking at people from northern climates we can see many individuals who have characteristics of both white and black ancestors. Many people have varying shades of white, brown, black, or however you might describe such coloring. This raises a perfectly legitimate question of what “*race*” with which such people might identify. If a person has obvious shades of color, anywhere between solid black to solid white, should they be identified as a race other than white or black? Is there a different “*racial identity*” that recognizes different percentages of DNA contribution?

If a person is mostly “*dark-colored*”, compared to a person who is mostly “*light-colored*” are they to be considered “*white*” or “*black*”? Of course, the exact same combination of DNA occurs when members of any race who might be “*pure*” reproduce with members of another race. The resulting offspring are no longer “*pure blood*” of either “*race*”. And, to my knowledge, neither science nor culture has been able to reach a satisfactory definition of a mixed-race identification term or name. Yes, some cultures have such terms but they (the identity terms) are frequently pejorative, geographically specific, and not universally acceptable.

This brings us back to the concept of “*diversity*”. Just what would that term mean when discussing employment options? If one were to hire based on race, should there be a requirement that only “*pure-blooded*” members of the chosen race be acceptable? If percentages or mixed-race origins are acceptable, is there a percentage requirement to be considered? For instance, is one of 50% mixture more desirable than one of 80-20? This would obviously require that all applicants for employment present a certified racial percentage certificate attesting to their

individual racial profile. Then there would also be the question of what percentage profiles would be acceptable to qualify for any diversity requirements.

My wife had a great-great-grandmother who was half-Cherokee Native American. Which is more than Elizabeth Warren can say. But would she meet any diversity requirements? In her appearance, at least to me, there seem to be no identifiable physical characteristics suggesting a Native American heritage. But then I don't claim expertise on the subject of identification.

The only rational way to approach this issue, the matter of requiring "*diversity*" in employment, would be to require all new-born children to have either a tattoo affixed specifying their racial heritage or a certificate of racial heritage printed. This would identify the percentages of racial heritage going backward in time to an agreed-upon period. For example, going back X generations to determine race mixture(s). Then, there would have to be a determination of acceptable percentages of mixed blood to qualify for the appellation of "*diversity*". For example, to so qualify (for diversity requirements) one might have to be a minimum of 25% of a specified race (such as, to pick an example, 25% non-white—but a specific non-white racial identity)

This is a rabbit hole down which I do not suggest traveling. After all, reality can be a bitch.

Tendering Transgendering to the Tender of Age

By: Sidney Secular
January 29, 2024

The creepy transgender movement has crept into all areas of modern Western life. All sorts of assorted genders and gender-fluid categories are constantly being created for public consideration, consumption, and (required) adoption. These include binary, non-binary, queer, bisexual, homosexual, intersexual, pansexual,

asexual, along with trans this and that, that is, people in the process of transforming into this and that reality at the time. As usual, big pharma, the culture-mulcher Marxists, the Freudian-style mind benders, along with avaricious surgeons, are the main players and, as a result, the beneficiaries in this production of the frightful, the tragic, and the absurd.

Pubic-oriented “*government*” schools promote genital surgeries, prostitution, sex novelties, and violent sex. It is pushed on children from kindergarten through college, avoiding parental knowledge – much less consent! – where possible. Schools set up “*queer*” contact points which have become today’s equivalent of guidance counselors, a sort of secular priesthood in which the callow and deceived can come and confess their newfound abnormal proclivities and receive affirmation therefor. Hormone treatments, genital surgeries, and mastectomies are promoted and even often provided. Schools have “*lie*”braries giving pupils access to books loaded with pornographic images of all sorts of sordid sex practices, associated violence, and prostitution. Associations and groups across the homosexual and transsexual lobbying spectrum provide windfall grants to fund abnormality and depravity while the FedGov provides funds and guidance on promoting alternative sexual scenarios. School parties, school trips, and even parent evenings are organized to foster the transgender bender culture/cult to indoctrinate the “*influencers*.” In just the last 16 years, the number of sex reassignment operations has increased more than 20-fold in Germany, and it can't be much different or better in the globohomo center, the USA.

Depending on the complexity of the makeover, as many as 10 surgeries have to be carried out to achieve the intended sex change objective – or at least its outward manifestation since no true “*sex change*” can take place. This “*health program*” will result in consequences that range from infertility, deep scars (both physically and mentally), and inflammation to mental derangements that often result in suicidal thoughts and actions. One “*trans man*” shot up her previous grammar school killing both children and adults while her “*manifesto*” regarding her actions was concealed from the public. Meanwhile, videos of trans people enthusiastically showing off their new bodies make the rounds of social media, that new source of solace for the lonely, and a major source of human conversion and perversion. By this means, entire groups can simultaneously conclude that they are transsexual if

for no other reason than to give their previously hollow lives some sort of meaning. But, in reality, the actually sexually weird comprise only a fraction of 1% of the overall population. This means that the number of what might be seen as medically justified sexual interventions is infinitesimally small. "*Social contagion*" is actually the most common of modern maladies, and movements can be created in a New York Minute as electronic messages and vice germs can spread instantly taking advantage of people who have little or no self-worth.

One of the primary reasons that the transsexual movement has been able to establish itself so widely in society is that gender reassignment modalities are a huge market for the pharmaceutical industry, that is expected to grow at rates of up to 25% per year over the next several years. The super-rich such as the Soros clan and Warren Buffet, finance the transgender lobby and organizations. Pharmaceutical companies donate millions to the LGBTQ* movement. They even "buy" universities, hospitals, and medical facilities with millions in donations. They set up "*chairs*" for transgender studies in prestigious universities. The notorious billionaire drug-manufacturing Pritzger family is one of the main investors in LGBTQ* clinics. The transgender lobby is seeking to define "*trans rights*" as human rights to palm off the costs of gender surgery to the general public while preventing any anti-trans opinions from being considered "*acceptable*" in the public forum.

Bringing the transsexual movement into the consciousness of children is done by all means possible such as in filthy, flimsy, films; TV; and books. Disney, for example, wants to make sure that every future movie will have "*trans*" people as a staple requirement and, possibly eventually, make that way of life "*normal*" and "*ordinary*." Powerful black "*trans women*" seem to be the ideal role models, combining as they do, as many abnormal characteristics as possible in one person, thus creating an ideal heroine. Children's books that propagate gender reassignment surgery are emerging. Drag queens are reading stories in which lonely people feel loved and understood as soon as they declare they are transsexual. In a complete inversion of values, guidelines for parents that explain the dangers of trans activism and its cult-like characteristics are classified as "*harmful to minors*." One such guidebook is called Desist, Detrans, Detox: Getting Your Child out of the Gender Cult by Maria Keffler. The thrust of all of this is to make any child with an

“*issue*” (as most children do have!) believe that it can be overcome if only they are admitted into the “*trans*” community.

Gender ideology is being pushed onto society by perverts and profiteers through their money and influence and with the help of the same virtue-signaling Karens and Kevins who supported the COVID lockdowns. Yet, the entire ideology contradicts all common sense, disregards all decency and all propriety, and barring the victory of evil as the result of our apathy, it will ultimately fail! But until then, the relentless drive and uniformity with which trans-activism is approaching children throughout the culture, will mentally and physically distort millions and drag down decent society. It is high time to defend and protect our children and to publicly expose and define the devastating effects that these evil masterminds are foisting upon us all.

U.S. and Iranian Intentions

By: George Friedman
Geopolitical Futures
January 28, 2024

Three U.S. servicemen were killed during a drone attack last night on a military base in Jordan, located near the Syrian border. President Joe Biden has blamed Iran-backed militias, who have engaged in tit-for-tat strikes on U.S. forces for months but until today had been careful not to escalate too dramatically.

To understand how this plays out, we must understand the respective imperatives and motivations of the U.S. and Iran.

The U.S. has been interested in the Middle East for some time, of course, and has been more or less actively deployed there since Operation Desert Storm, the goal of which was to prevent Iraq from attacking its neighbors and thus taking control of the area’s oil supply. Iraq is no longer the threat it once was, but it is very much in Iran’s sphere of influence. More recently, Washington has been focused on subnational movements that could destabilize the region. Put simply, the U.S.

position in the Middle East is the same as it has been since the 1950s: to maintain the flow of oil and to minimize violence by blocking countries and movements deemed hostile to U.S. interests. Thus, U.S. forces and allies are scattered throughout the region.

Much of Iran's recent activity runs counter to that position. Nearly two weeks ago, Iran fired missiles at targets in northwestern Syria, Iraqi Kurdistan, and southwestern Pakistan ostensibly in response to a terrorist attack in the Iranian city of Kerman. Tehran is also supporting Yemen's Houthi rebels, who are using Iranian-supplied weapons and intelligence to attack oil tankers and other vessels in the Red Sea and nearby waterways. For the past few weeks, the U.S. and certain allies have been fighting a naval war against Houthis.

The U.S. goal, then, is to make sure its allies are not overthrown or destabilized – which requires supporting strategic forces. Iran's goal is to undermine the U.S. position and become the most powerful force in the region – which requires a U.S. withdrawal. It is not enough that the U.S. withdraw from the region; Iran must be seen as driving them out. Secondly, it needs to be seen as the leader of the fight against Israel through its mostly Shia proxy forces and thereby demonstrate the weakness of Sunni actors.

If the U.S. is forced out, then Iran is in a position to impose power on the Suez Canal and possibly further. If Iran is broken, the U.S. will dominate the region. Iran has a weaker military and is far less influential, and it seems to have determined that striking the U.S. with what power it has will cause the U.S. to eventually leave. But the stakes are different. The U.S. will survive if it "*loses.*" Iran's very future is at risk.

The U.S. must attack Iranian targets of note if it wants to show it is prepared to fight – and win. Iran will have to counter similarly. If executed, the conflict will feature missile and air power to minimize casualties. Iran will use ground forces along with its drones, and the U.S. will try to destroy drone factories and storage areas. Tehran will attempt a short but very intense campaign to discourage U.S. allies from joining the fray.

If the United States must engage in a high-intensity war against Iran, then it will be less able to supply Ukraine with needed support. We should therefore watch for possible Russian involvement because it will allow Moscow to become more effective than it has been.

*If you do not take an interest
in the affairs of your government,
then you are doomed to live under
the rule of fools.*

Plato