



Rip McIntosh



You can ignore reality, but you cannot
ignore the consequences of reality

Ayn Rand

August 21, 2024

- > **Victor Davis Hanson** - **The Myriad Projections of the 2024 Campaign**
- > **Judd Garrett** - **Kammunism**
- > **Michael J. Boskin** - **How Kamala Harris Sees the Economy**
- > **Omega4America** - **Can Trump Lose Florida?**

The Myriad Projections of the 2024 Campaign

By: Victor Davis Hanson

American Greatness

August 19, 2024

(Emphasis added)

Projectionism

Projection is a Freudian psychological term. It describes a particular defensive mechanism, when people, often unconsciously, attribute their own (usually undesirable) behaviors to others who do not have them. These mental gymnastics are intended to alleviate one's own guilt or sense of inadequacy at the expense of another.

Sound familiar?

However, in the political sphere, projection involves more overt dissimulation. It is increasingly common for leftist candidates or political parties to falsely accuse their opponents of the destructive behaviors and unpopular agendas that they themselves embrace but, out of political necessity, must deny.

Rather than an unconscious Freudian defense mechanism, political projection is usually a conscious strategy of hiding one's own negatives by fobbing them off on antagonists.

Projection often proves a quite successful ploy.

After all, the political projectionist knows best his own hazardous or off-putting conduct and policies. And so, he can most skillfully attribute just these liabilities to those who have had no experience with them.

Our Leftist Projectionists

The 2024 Harris-Walz campaign is turning out to be projectionist to the core. How?

First, Kamala Harris and her new running mate, Governor Tim Walz, have long advanced fringe leftist political agendas. (Her “*everyone needs to be woke*” and his claim that riots happen because society doesn’t prioritize “*equity and inclusion.*”)

They have been loud in their fringe cultural commentaries, which are not just unpopular but roundly rejected by the majority of the electorate. And they know that if they become open and honest about what they have done, they will likely be defeated.

Second: On a more personal level, both are attacking the behavior and conduct of their rivals to deflect attention from their own weaknesses on that score. Thus, this kind of projection, about both policy and personal behavior, is more common on the left because its ideology is fundamentally far more distant from the views of most voters.

A few examples reveal that 2024 is turning out to be the most projectionist campaign in memory—and logically so, because the Democratic Harris-Walz ticket is unappealingly left-wing and thus vulnerable.

Harris is now barnstorming the country, repeating many times per day a teleprompted narrative that she sought to close the border and stop illegal immigration. Donald Trump, she claims, did just the opposite and is thus weak on the border. This idea of an open-borders Trump pitted against a secure-borders Harris is a classic Big Lie—if not utterly unhinged and surreal.

As a senator in 2017-20, Harris repeatedly fought President Trump's secure border efforts. Trump battled for a secure border against the likes of Harris (whose record was the most left-wing in the Senate), the administrative state, "*anonymous*" moles within his own administration, DNC-spawned lawsuits, and liberal justices.

All of them battled to keep the border open and the inflow of illegal aliens as large as possible—given that they felt subsidizing a huge illegal alien population would create loyal voters in the new era of poorly audited early and mail-in balloting. Innate to the new hard-left Democratic party is a globalist ideology that regards borders as anachronisms. Thus, anyone should be allowed to travel and reside in any country while deserving state support to accomplish such migrations.

Those agendas were why the entire leftist consortium tried to halt Trump's efforts to build a wall. They embraced catch-and-release and allowed illegal aliens to claim "*refugee*" status once inside the United States. They fought Trump tooth and nail when he tried to beef up ICE and other border security agencies.

Harris went to absurd lengths in her open-borders agenda. She bizarrely even blasted the public at Christmas time for daring to say "*Merry Christmas*" when, she alleged, young foreign nationals here illegally could not enjoy such holidays. When she called for reforming border security, she said of ICE—"*And we need to probably think about starting from scratch.*"

Harris accused the border patrol falsely of whipping Haitian illegal aliens and compared them to the enforcers of slavery.

As a California attorney general, Harris championed sanctuary cities and the state's neo-Confederate nullification of federal immigration laws. She and Tim Walz supported free health care for foreigners who arrived illegally in the United States. **Harris claimed that illegal entry into the U.S. was not a criminal offense.**

Most notoriously, Harris was part and parcel of the Biden-Harris-Alejandro Mayorkas administration that destroyed the border and allowed a record 10 million illegal aliens to enter the U.S. freely and without vetting.

So why is Harris projecting her politics onto the border-hawk Trump, falsely claiming that he opposed bipartisan "*comprehensive border reform*"—a tired euphemism for the failed election-era gimmick of legitimizing the unimpeded entrance of millions more illegal aliens? Because Harris knows that, of all the unpopular Biden-Harris initiatives, the wide-open border and accompanying unchecked influxes of unvetted illegal aliens have proved the most unpopular.

And Harris knows she supported this nihilist policy and will again embrace it after the election in her remaining three months as vice president—and, if elected president, for another four years.

But for the next 80 days, Harris will lie, in an utterly cynical fashion, that she is with the voters on enforced borders and regulated immigration and against Trump, the supposed open-border liberal. Note that Harris is still

vice president and remains “*border czar*” for the next five months. Thus, she could stop all illegal immigration right now. And, even if not elected president, she could ensure its end through November and until January 20, 2025.

In the personal domain, Tim Walz and his supporters are now alleging that his opponent, J. D. Vance, is not a true veteran of the Iraq War because he was mainly assigned to a non-combat unit.

Walz and company are projecting in this absurd fashion because Walz himself has lied that he served in a combat zone and implied he was deployed to either Iraq or Afghanistan or both when he left the National Guard before it was deployed to a combat theater. On yet another occasion, he claimed to have carried weapons “*in war*” when he had never been in combat theater his entire life. He also falsely claimed to have retired as a Command Sergeant Major when he instead demonstrably held a lower rank.

As a way of hiding these untruths, Walz projects lies onto Vance. But anyone knows who has been to Iraq during the war, and especially during the surge—whether as a journalist embedded with ground troops or on an official military-arranged helicopter and plane visits to outlying American bases and troop installations—Iraq was a combat zone everywhere. There were no traditional fronts and no safe areas. Troops got killed inside the supposedly safe “*green zone*.” They were shot and killed or blown up in Humvees while traveling on allegedly secure roads and in purportedly secure bases. Journalists and bureaucrats alike were killed by IEDs, barrages, and sniper fire—anywhere and everywhere.

Vance was in such a 24/7 combat environment when deployed to Iraq. Classic projection is clear when the soldier who avoided combat deployments projects his guilt, embarrassment, or fear of criticism onto an antagonist who chose the opposite conduct and behavior.

In the year of the projectionist, watch how Harris—who obsessively avoids any live, impromptu, or ex tempore talk or interview—blasts Trump—who cannot restrain himself from talking publicly to anyone—for supposedly seeking to escape debating her.

Watch how she rails against voter IDs with accusations that her opponents want to warp balloting—while she has encouraged massive, unaudited mail-in voting and third-party vote harvesting, along with 10 million new potential illegal voters conveniently entering the U.S.

Watch how Harris blasts “*radical Republicans*” as she intends (together with a Democratic congress) to pack the court, go after the filibuster, and admit new states to get on the cheap four left-wing senators.

Watch Harris scream that the near-unprecedented Biden-Harris inflation—which saw staples including food, power, gas, rent, cars, and insurance soar by 20-30 percent during her tenure—was supposedly caused by ex-president Trump, who left office three years ago with a 1.2 percent inflation rate.

Watch the projectionist Walz claim that Vance, the author of Hillbilly Elegy, who grew up in an impoverished Appalachia, is actually an elite because he went to law school at Yale. At the same time, Walz was a rural Nebraska boy

who stayed rural in outlook. In fact, Vance is the first poor white boy presidential or vice presidential candidate since Bill Clinton (who also went to Yale Law School) ran in 1992. Meanwhile, Walz once scoffed not to take the overwhelmingly conservative map of Minnesota too seriously since there were only “*rocks and cows*” out there.

Watch Walz scream about “*weird*” Republicans—as he let Minneapolis burn for days in the awful summer of 2020 before calling in the National Guard, as his wife opened their official residence’s windows to get an authentic whiff of the revolutionary arson. As his daughter tweeted out assurances to rioters not to worry about being arrested or stopped by the National Guard, given her inside knowledge, they would not be sent in.

Watch Biden claim that Trump will attack democracy if he loses. This comes from a president who has unleashed lawfare against his opponent, who was removed from his own reelection candidacy by a backroom intrigue, and whose mental disabilities have been hidden from the public by the same dark forces who recently forced him out—but only when his polls dived, and he threatened other Democratic candidates. Note that Harris is the first modern presidential candidate who **has never won a single delegate in a single primary** but was anointed by a fiat of unnamed donors and politicians.

The first cousin of projection is the more familiar vice of hypocrisy, marginally preferable to projection in that here the other side is at least doing what they’re accused of.

Harris’s hypocrisy is stunning and shameless. For example, she has blasted Trump’s demand for voter IDs as racist and voter suppression. Yet Harris

demands the very same sort of photo IDs from all who would attend her rallies. Her logic is that verifying the citizenship of voters is not as important as proving you have obtained a ticket to her rally and are not unlawfully seeking to enter her own event. Her message to the public is that the security of American balloting is hardly as important as the security of her rallies.

The second cousin of projection is lying about one's real intentions, which is virtually inevitable for the side with the less popular ideology.

Once elected, Vice President Harris felt she could safely push unpopular restrictions on pipelines, existing oil and gas fields, and new federal energy leasing to please her leftist circle and pursue her 'green new deal' agendas. Now facing a presidential election and the need for cheaper gasoline and fracking jobs in swing states, she is pro-fracking and drilling for oil and gas. As president, she would inevitably revert to her consistent earlier advocacies. These range from federal gun confiscation and nullifying ("*snatching*") private enterprise patents to controlling not only food prices but also wages that she deems do not meet her standards of "*gender equity.*"

At long last, can't we take away the projection, the hypocrisy, and the concealment of one's real positions, not to mention all the past collusion, disinformation, and lawfare, and just let the people decide whether they want to return to the leftist vision of America as fundamentally flawed, inherently racist, and in desperate need of corrective illiberalism?

Can't the left be honest about wanting massive government redistributive action in health, education, and welfare, fueled by enormous government

spending increases, more taxes, and more administrative-state overseers? And can't the left be honest to Americans about their globalist view that America is only exceptional to the degree that any country believes it is exceptional—but demonstrably not exceptional enough to warrant secure borders, a free market economy, legal-only immigration, a strong deterrent military, and a content-of-our-character-not-color-of-our-skin approach to race?

Put another way, if you don't want what people want, you have to project.

Kammunism

By: Judd Garrett

Objectivity is the Objective

August 18, 2024

(Emphasis added)

Barack Obama's father was a communist politician and economist from Kenya. Pete Buttigieg's father was born in Malta and was a Marxist professor at the University of Notre Dame. Tim Walz has numerous connections to communist China and purposely chose to get married on the anniversary of the Tiananmen Square massacre. Kamala Harris' father was born in Jamaica and was a Marxist professor at Stanford University. These are the people who are the power brokers in the modern Democrat party. So, it only follows that the Democrat nominee for President, Kamala Harris, would propose Marxist centrally administered price controls on food and grocery prices as the centerpiece of her economic plan.

I have a great business idea: invest your life savings in a business that will lose money and eventually fail. Who's in?

Let's get this straight:

- the Democrats have artificially driven up the price of labor by increasing the minimum wage;
- they have artificially increased the cost of your overhead by driving up energy prices;
- they are pushing for a carbon tax on the energy that you use to run your business;
- they are making it more costly to do business with increased taxes and regulations;
- they have caused hyperinflation, which increases the cost of everything, and then
- they want to artificially drive down the prices you charge for your goods.

Sounds like a plan. Producing food will cost more, but you can charge less, and these supposed geniuses think this will work.

If there is no profit in producing goods, then the goods will not be produced. Would you work for free? Would you work 40 hours a week for someone else and not get paid? Of course not. So, the people producing the food that ends up in the grocery stores will not risk their capital or devote their labor to produce something if there's no profit in it. Nobody would do that. Do you think farmers will get up at 3:30 AM and work six days a week until the sun goes down and get nothing for their effort? You can't increase labor and fuel costs, add taxes and regulations on business, and demand that they lower

prices. Everywhere price controls have been tried, they have failed disastrously.

Only someone who works in Washington, DC, can come up with something so out of touch with reality. But have you seen our federal budget lately? Our federal politicians spend \$1,000,000,000,000 (trillion) more than they take in every year, and they all think that that's okay because no one objects. That's how they can come up with this ridiculous plan of price controls. They do not live in the real world. They are not spending their own money; if they need more, they pass legislation to take it from someone else or print it. When you work in a system that has created \$35 trillion of debt and can casually overspend by \$1 trillion each year, you have no concept of how a business balances its books. A farmer cannot just go into his barn and print \$10,000 to cover a deficit; he cannot just loan himself money he does not intend to repay; he cannot snap his fingers and take money from his neighbors to pay his debts.

The biggest reason the average American is having difficulty merely buying groceries these days has everything to do with rising inflation and burdensome taxation resulting from out-of-control federal government spending. Inflation and taxes are taking a big chunk out of what the average American earns and can buy, and that is why average Americans are having a difficult time paying their bills. The money that should be in their pockets was spent by the Biden-Harris administration to pay off their political donors – the ones who helped them get elected/rigged the election.

In the first 18 months that Biden and Harris were in office, they signed three multi-trillion-dollar spending bills on top of the \$7 trillion the federal

government already spends, and those bills are the primary driver of the inflation that the average American has been combatting. High grocery prices are not a result of corporate greed. It's not grocery stores gouging the public. **The only entity gouging the American public is the United States federal government which Kamala Harris is leading.** We are overtaxed because the government spends too much, and inflation is too high because the government spends too much. So, while we are enacting laws against gouging American citizens, can they pass an anti-tax gouging law against the federal government? Can we put a cap on how much taxes Americans have to pay? Can we cap how much money the federal government can spend?

Kamala Harris can put price controls on groceries if she wants to see massive food shortages and starvation in America. Do you want to see high grocery prices? Just wait until many food-producing companies go out of business because of the price controls, and we have food shortages. There won't be enough food, and the black market food will be expensive. At that point, genius politicians like Kamala Harris will claim that the best thing to do is for the government to take over the production of food and groceries as the Soviet Union did. We will have to stand in line for four hours in the freezing cold to get a quart of milk and a loaf of bread while the politicians who created the problem live like kings and queens in mansions.

Kamala Harris has also proposed giving new homebuyers \$25,000 for their down payments because housing costs have increased dramatically over the last 3 ½ years. Still, she neglects to mention that this increase in housing costs was caused directly by her administration's reckless spending, which created the hyperinflation that led to unprecedented interest rate hikes to offset the inflation and the mass illegal immigration she created, causing

housing shortages. Meanwhile, her proposal of giving homebuyers \$25,000 will increase the cost of housing by \$25,000 while increasing the national debt, inflation, interest rates, and taxes.

This is like Bill Clinton, in 1994, expanding the federal student loan program so that everyone would be able to afford to go to college. As a result, over the last 30 years, college tuition has increased by over 400%, and now students are graduating with \$200,000 of debt. So, the federal program designed to make college affordable for everyone has made it unaffordable for almost everyone. College tuition has become so high due to Clinton's policies that the Biden-Harris administration has proposed having the federal government forgive student loan debt. If the solution to high grocery prices is price controls, how about we put price controls on college tuition? Nobody should pay \$80,000 a year to go to college. But the Democrats don't do that because the colleges are the indoctrination arm of the Democrat party, and they do not want to offend anyone in academia. They need to appease their water carriers. The Marxists who run American universities are all for price control by the government until it comes to their paychecks; then, they become entirely capitalists. Once again, they do not realize that the federal government forgiving student loans adds to our national debt, which drives inflation, increasing the costs to run the colleges and increasing tuition.

The genius central planners never learn the lesson. Price controls have been tried repeatedly across the globe for the last 100 years, and they all caused shortages of everything in the countries where they were implemented. They always fail, but the Marxists keep trying them because they think they are the special ones; they are the smart ones. The most ironic part of Harris'

entire economic proposal is that the only way a Kamala Harris presidency would have a chance at success would be if she did the exact opposite of what she's running on; if she chose an utterly laissez-faire approach to running the government, then she would have the least amount of ability to screw things up because **she is not intelligent enough to make decisions for other people**. The more she wants to plan for everybody else, the more she wants to control, the worse everything will be. But the most ironic part is that if we elect a central planner to dictate the price of goods in our economy, we will not choose somebody who speaks in word- salads and cackles incessantly. Do you want that dictating our lives?

There's an old saying about politicians: *they create a problem and then convince the voters that they are the only ones who can fix it*. There is no more explicit example of that in modern politics than the Kamala Harris Presidential campaign. Every problem that she says she's going to fix in America if she is elected President was created by her administration when she was Vice President – the border crisis, the inflation crisis, the crime crisis, the fentanyl crisis, the housing crisis, the homeless crisis, the Ukraine war, the war in Israel. Every single one of them has been created by the Joe Biden and Kamala Harris administrations, and she is running a campaign claiming to know how to fix every problem she created. And every solution that she is offering is only going to make every problem she creates even worse.

How Kamala Harris Sees the Economy

Her proposals would lead to slower growth, more inflation, and no relief from the debt crisis.

By: Michael J. Boskin
Wall Street Journal
August 18, 2024
(emphasis added)

Voters need to know much more about Kamala Harris's economic policies. What would she do about spending, taxes, deficits, regulation, and trade? What are her views on monetary policy, and would she back the Federal Reserve if it again had to wring inflation out of the economy?

These issues deserve more than banal generalities, a few poll-tested specifics, and Democrat nostrums about greedy corporations and the rich not paying their "*fair share*" of taxes. (In fact, America has the most progressive tax system in the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.)

Voters have three sources of insight into her thinking:

- her positions when running for president in 2019,
- the Biden-Harris economic policies, and
- the economic program she rolled out Friday.

Start with the new policies. They have a \$2 trillion deficit-financed cost, primarily to expand health subsidies and Biden-Harris child and earned-income tax-credit proposals. Ms. Harris wants to give first-time home buyers a \$25,000 government check, which is more than the typical down payment on a starter home and would likely drive up home prices. She favors subsidies to developers of low-income housing and price controls on

groceries. Joe Biden proposed national rent controls. Although perhaps briefly popular, price controls from ancient Rome to Richard Nixon's era have had disastrous consequences, such as shortages and black markets.

The current administration's policies and proposals are enlightening unless Ms. Harris repudiates them. Of note is the March 2021 \$1.9 trillion American Rescue Plan, which led to the worst inflation in 40 years. The result: years of declining living standards for tens of millions of working families as wage hikes failed to keep up with price increases.

John Maynard Keynes said inflation is how the government steals wealth from citizens. It is shameless to deflect blame and then take credit for subsidies and price caps that help people pay for things they can't afford.

As vice president, Ms. Harris cast the tie-breaking Senate vote for the misnamed Inflation Reduction Act of 2022, a potpourri of green-energy subsidies now estimated to cost more than \$1,000,000,000,000 (trillion), or **three times the original estimate**. If these policies somehow remained in place until 2100, global temperature would be lower by 0.03 degrees.

The infrastructure bill addresses some federal needs but was so loaded with special-interest favors that it will get far fewer highway miles built and bridges repaired than advertised. Only eight of the half-million promised charging stations have been built in almost three years. Meanwhile, electric vehicle sales have stalled, and automakers are scaling back.

Mr. Biden and Ms. Harris seek to raise business and personal tax rates, **tax unrealized capital gains**, and even help foreign countries raise taxes on U.S.

companies. They would let key provisions of the 2017 tax reforms expire. They dismiss these as mere taxes on the rich, but the measures would prevent millions of Americans from becoming richer and hinder economic growth.

The Biden-Harris budgets ran America's largest peacetime low-unemployment deficits ever. Bill Clinton was the last president to have a surplus. Like Donald Trump, Mr. Biden and Ms. Harris ignored Social Security's and Medicare's impending insolvency. Unlike Mr. Trump, who increased military spending, they proposed real cuts every year despite growing threats from Russia, China, and Iran. While Mr. Trump talks about reducing deficits and debt, he also has some explaining to do. Other harmful Biden-Harris policies include those on illegal immigration, which has destabilized even non-border communities. In states such as New York, the economy would benefit from more legal immigration. The Biden-Harris administration has usurped Congress's power of the purse for regressive student debt cancellation. It has blocked pipelines and natural gas export terminals that are cleaner than the alternatives.

In her 2019 presidential run, Ms. Harris said she would ban fracking, which has made America an energy superpower, added hundreds of billions of dollars to the economy, curbed Russia's energy hold on Europe, and reduced the strategic power of the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries.

As a senator, Ms. Harris co-sponsored Medicare for All. This government single-payer system would eliminate private health insurance for more than half the population, now with a 10-year cost of \$43.9 trillion. It would force

many hospitals to close, while others would have to lay off hundreds of thousands of employees and eliminate services. It would ruin the economy, requiring the equivalent of either raising the national debt to 210% of gross domestic product or raising all federal taxes **by 70%**.

Ms. Harris co-sponsored the economically, scientifically, and numerically illiterate Green New Deal, ostensibly designed to deal with climate risks, real and imagined. For one, it proposes retrofitting all existing buildings to total energy efficiency in 12 years, which would require completing **an impossible 33,000 buildings a day**. It would guarantee lots more government handouts, even some outright public ownership, of Green New Deal projects through financing such as public banks or community grants.

Harris's aides now say she no longer supports a total fracking ban and supports less-costly additions to government health and climate regulation. Voters will have to discern her sincerity and willingness to buck the radical wing of her party—something Mr. Biden has seldom done as president.

If Ms. Harris wins, we must hope that, like Mr. Clinton, she seeks bipartisan compromise solutions. But he was a pragmatic Democratic governor of conservative Arkansas. She is a product of the deep-blue one-party rule that has put California deep in the red.

Mr. Boskin is a Hoover Institution senior fellow and economics professor at Stanford. He was chairman of the President's Council of Economic Advisers, 1989-93.

Can Trump Lose Florida?

**Florida has some of the dirtiest voter rolls in the country
- never reconciled - and ballot mills might just do it.**

By: Omega4America

August 18, 2024

(Emphasis added)

Have you read any stories lately about Trump expanding his game to New Hampshire? Is Virginia still in play? How about Trump gaining ground in New Mexico? Remember those days? Remember the delusional 414 electoral vote prediction? Now, Kamala is looking to expand her electoral map. Our girl Kam is looking at a number of new swing states - because she and her team confidently feel the big midwestern swings are in the bag. They see the same numbers we see - invisible to the Trump Campaign and the RNC. Kam's team sees those 500,000 ballot mill personalities quietly waiting to be called up when needed in Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, Michigan, and Georgia. And the ineligible are there - some of our videos on the Omega4America.com site show them. Kam's people know what the on-the-ground election integrity teams know - that the Trump Campaign has a less-than-zero ground game in the swing states.

Now, the Trumpers will tell you they have offices, staff, registration efforts - and likely they do - as they need to burn down those hundred million dollar donation buckets - and they will spend them on traditional stuff. What you don't see is those Trump-paid staffers have zero visibility to the ballot mills ready to crank out those ballots when needed. They don't see the ballot mills

because their management - the Trump Campaign - does NOT believe 2020 was stolen.

Here's a little email from a DC luminary after he chatted with a Trump inner circle person. This is one of many such insights we received:

"I had a long chat with someone here in Virginia who is close to the Trump campaign. She told me that if you want to reach the campaign, don't bother. Neither LaCivita nor Suzy Wiles believes the 2020 election was stolen and faults Mike Lindell for leading Trump down a disastrous path. This may explain the foot-dragging in both the Campaign and the RNC to go after voter lists."

Lindell and his crazies freak out the Campaign - everyone kind of gets it. Unfortunately, closing your eyes to what can be 15% of the ballots (not votes) in Wisconsin is not such a good idea. Those paid staffers are NOT working with the on-the-ground teams who have been deep into the data - into stopping the upcoming steal - they are working with the RINO, Republican Committee types who think 2020 was on the level.

It will be a very untraditional election, with the Trump Campaign operating in a most traditional manner—salaries, high-paid consultants, polls, polls, polls, ads, ads, ads. 157,000 “trained” poll watchers will watch those ballot mill ballots come in, wave after wave, making sure they are “*properly counted*”—that’s how you burn down the dough and make it look like you are doing something productive.

But the internal numbers tell a different story. We do not see Trump’s internal numbers, but we get a peek at some from the Senate campaigns,

who are close to panic. The Republican Senate Campaign Committee sold these wealthy, faceless candidates a ticket that if they funded their own campaigns in blue states, against incumbents, plus Ohio, they would have Trump's coattails to take them to their deserved desk in DC.

Not quite working out that way.

This week, Trump chose to "*expand*" his "*great campaign team*" with an old hand because things are going so well that they need more talent at the top. Trump is "*thrilled*" with his current team. Remember when George McGovern said he was "*1,000% behind Eagleton as VP?*" Remember that? That story comes in handy right now. Their first announcement is the "*White House Transition Team.*"

DJT and Kam are polling even or close in every swing state - 500,000 or so ballots - that do not show up in the polls ready to vote against DJT - in each swing - and Trump's guys are measuring Oval Office drapes. That is called whistling past the graveyard. When you are "*expanding*" your campaign team with a reshuffle rather than expanding your electoral map to New Hampshire, Virginia, and New Mexico - your internal polls are not a happy place.

Trump cannot bullshit well - he can call it out - he cannot dish it out. He's in trouble. His internal polls are scary - he is flailing. We are sure he is "*thrilled*" someone suggested crushing a demented incumbent - in June - and getting a re-deal of the cards while holding 4 aces, so a young, black, historic chick can replace Biden in August. That same chick is now energizing the Left in a way many thought would come - but never did - from Bobby Kennedy. Kennedy is

trying to cut a deal - any deal - with anyone who can save his now-flagging campaign. How's that going to impact 2024? The Trump guys and Susie bet that Kam would fold. She may, but nobody will ever know - because they are keeping her in a bottle until inauguration. The Biden Campaign kept Joe out of circulation for 7 months in 2020. Do you doubt the same team can keep Kamala away from reporters for 80 days?

Sometimes, under great pressure, it's difficult to see the line between denial and stupidity. The Trump Campaign operatives are in deep denial, as donors are calling DJT to tell him there is not the traction they expected to see. Trump gets it—he's bright, disruptive, and innovative—but surrounds himself with those who are not.

So, back to the question: Can Trump lose Florida? Are you aware that **Florida voter rolls are so bad they added a street to the voter records with people living on it? The street does NOT exist!** Of course, neither do those voters. One of the great voter integrity guys in Florida found it and deserves the credit - finding a street, with registered voters on it, that does NOT exist - sitting there in the Florida voter roll. That's electioneering innovation! Why not invent a street or a couple hundred to create ballot accumulation points - so the Post Office would get them kicked back - to use when needed? We run Florida, and Trump could lose it.

Not a thing we would bet on, but the voter rolls are so bad that several points are floating due to mail-in ballots. It's close - like 4 points in the polls - and **there are 500,000 fake, ineligible address/identity combos there**, but it's a stretch for Kam. The problem is DJT has to defend it - so DJT - who is an attack event - now has to become a defending type - and DJT ain't got no

defend DNA. But he isn't going to blow it out either - a couple of uncontrolled comments about some woman's hair or obesity and Florida might have just enough fake ballot-mill-generated ballots to actually do it. If a Leftist judge locks Trump up - crazy as that seems, Florida and Texas may have Republicans puckering up. If Florida goes Kam, everyone can go to bed at 10:00. It's over.

The story is not Florida. The story is Virginia and New Hampshire. DJT should be working them now - expanding his base - going for 414 - but he cannot because he is now losing the key midwestern swings - Michigan, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, and Georgia. These midwestern states - we include Georgia, we know Georgia isn't next to Minnesota - so spare us the emails, but the dynamics are almost identical. Georgia has a Governor and Secretary of State who hates DJT. They told the Georgia Bureau of Investigation to take a pass on 2024 voter fraud. [The Georgia voter rolls win the championship for worst in America. 30,000 voters have multiple voter IDs - not duplicates, multiples.](#) Some voters have 5 eligible voter IDs and can vote from any or all of them! That's like the government giving out multiple Social Security numbers. In 2020, voters [not on the voter roll](#) cast ballots - that's some trick!

Michigan is Blue all the way - and they watch their electioneering - modifying the law to ensure Kam wins there. So does Wisconsin and Pennsylvania. I think Pennsylvania may have a Republican Secretary of State. However, it doesn't matter as their voter rolls are horrendous and their legislators still deny the 2020 fraud that is undeniable in their voter rolls. There is minimal possibility for Trump to win without winning 3 of the big 4: Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Michigan, and Georgia. Right now, not one is in line for Trump.

We are often asked if this is an opinion or fact. It's hard to tell - you decide. Our teams monitor every address in each of these states. We monitor every voter at each address. We cross-search the dense areas against property tax rolls. So, **in every state, we can tell you today - visually - with street views - what each of the 500,000 ineligible addresses looks like - each of which gets a 2024 ballot.** You can quibble with us and say those ballots landing at gas stations are going to be cast for Trump - by the Catholic charities or Lutheran charities or Soros-funded Leftist group who collects them. We think these aren't Trumpster votes - we believe they are Kam votes - but maybe the Republicans are getting clever, and perhaps they collect them, sit in a black church, fill them out, and drop them into drop boxes. We think not.

You can tell us Republicans are registering billions of voters in these states. It is always a good thing to register new voters. Newly registered voters have the lowest propensity to vote of any voter - if they cared, you wouldn't have to track them down. Our **500,000 ballot-mill voters in each swing state, over a million in Pennsylvania - have a 100% propensity to vote - because rabid, Leftist NGOs cast their ballots.**

So that's our methodology. The difference between us and the RNC, every senate campaign, and every national voter integrity group is that we see them all in real time. So **we know what nobody else sees** - because they all rely on relational technology, which is blind to these anomalies. If someone changes a voter roll, we see it by cross-searching each voter roll against the previous one - and the 5 before that! Add new voters after the deadline? We pick that up the day it happens. Be warned, Maricopa and Pima Counties. We don't ask you to believe us. Look at our videos on Rumble - and you will see

how we uncover voter anomalies dating back 20 years - in every state we publish. Every one of these was missed by every voter integrity national organization in America - for decades - while they scammed the dough from dopey donors telling them they were cleaning voter rolls. So, maybe this is an opinion, but it is one supported by visibility. If we can see what the RNC cannot see, we are ready to place a bet.

We conclude that the 2024 national election is too important to be left to those who do not believe 2020 was stolen. **2024 is pretty much for all the marbles.** Fortunately, some big-money people seem to agree with us - that the Trump Campaign is foundering, they are directionless, and they have no way to stop mail-in ballots by the 500,000s from gas stations and Walmarts. These donors also believe the national voter integrity organizations are useless - and are pretty much on the take. They take the dough but do not take fake voters off the rolls. We don't remove voters from rolls either - and we are proud of that point. **What our teams do is stop mail-in ballots from going to ineligible addresses in 2024.** When that ballot slips through - which many will do - we challenge it from being counted. We produce the property tax record - an official government document - with a photo - Google street view - showing Phineas's address is a Walmart! We don't think a ballot from a Walmart should be counted!

Do not lose hope about losing Florida. We are going to do all we can to wrest Michigan, Wisconsin, Georgia, and Pennsylvania from the Leftist fake ballot operators - legally with visibility never before brought to the fight. **We are pretty sure the teams using quantum technology can make a difference.**

We pray it is THE difference.

*IF YOU DO NOT TAKE AN INTEREST
IN THE AFFAIRS OF YOUR GOVERNMENT,
THEN YOU ARE DOOMED TO LIVE UNDER
THE RULE OF FOOLS.
PLATO*

kindest personal regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Rip'.

Henry P McIntosh IV

ripmcintosh@msn.com

Self-righteous progressives believe they put up with and suffer on behalf of us, and, thus, their irrational fury and hate for the irredeemables and conservative minorities springs from being utterly unappreciated by clueless serfs who should properly worship their betters.

- Victor Davis Hanson