

Victims Furious at FBI After Agents Failed to Charge Notorious Child Molester



By [Donny Ferguson](#)

November 14, 2022

A top U.S. Senator is demanding to know why two **FBI** agents are not being charged with a **crime** after they lied and fabricated evidence to avoid charging Dr. **Larry Nassar**, who molested dozens of young women as the team doctor for the U.S. women's national gymnastics team.

The FBI is now being sued by Nassar's victims, who allege they approached FBI agents in 2015 only to have their testimony falsified by agents, allowing Nassar to avoid arrest for a year.

It is estimated Nassar molested 70 more victims during that time.

Sen. **Chuck Grassley**, R-Iowa, is now asking Attorney General **Merrick Garland** to explain in detail why his Justice Department refuses to prosecute agents who were "found repeatedly lying under oath, in violation of criminal law and fabricated evidence to cover up their failures."

Grassley referenced a July briefing with FBI officials, in which the agency was evasive.

“While I appreciated the opportunity to ask questions and learn more from members of your team, I am disappointed in the DOJ’s lack of transparency, even during a member briefing. Those present left with more questions than answers in regards to the conduct and actions taken by the two agents in the Nassar case, as well as what next steps will be taken by DOJ to ensure accountability,” Grassley wrote in the letter to Garland, putting his demands in writing.

“In addition to the significant questions raised around this agent throughout the investigation and beyond, the attitude maintained about this briefing was unacceptable. During questioning from one of my colleagues in regards to sharing further information, a member of your staff told him it was already an ‘extraordinary event’ taking time to brief United States Senators on one of the most consequential cases to come before the FBI,” Grassley wrote.

“When the Department officials were asked by my colleagues to provide the physical evidence they evaluated to determine not to prosecute the agents, they declined. The declination came despite the case being closed. This is unacceptable, and I am again left wondering what the DOJ is attempting to restrict access to if they felt the evidence (or lack thereof) was not sufficient for prosecution,” Grassley continued.

“What happened to our gymnasts, the years-long abuse of underage girls right under the noses of adults who are supposed to protect them, is an actual extraordinary event equating to a grave misstep of justice,” Grassley added.

Grassley now demands Garland answer:

- 1. When did investigators learn of the issues surrounding the new information about this agent?***
- 2. How long after the information was uncovered was the agent allowed to retire from the FBI?***
- 3. How many cases did he also oversee as a supervisory agent? Have any of those cases been reopened or reexamined since the new information came to light?***
- 4. While new information was shared about only one of the agents, both agents in charge of handling this case must be held accountable. Please provide the Senate***

Judiciary and Commerce Committees access to the physical evidence used in the independent review to determine no new charges were necessary for these agents. If the answer is no, please provide an explanation as to why this information is not available even though the case is considered closed.

5. If the case is not considered closed, does the DOJ plan to hold ANY MORE briefings on this case?

*The opinions expressed in this article are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the positions of **American Liberty News**.*