

To CARMA readers;

As you may or may not know, I have been a long time climate change skeptic, having written pieces on the dangers of cherry picking limited data sets to make alarmist “settled science” pronouncements over the last 25 years.

I invite you to look at a different view of some of the data sets currently being used to justify literally billions and billions of dollars worldwide to “fight climate change.” Whether or not the individual in this video is accurate in his remarks & graphs, I do not know – I am not a scientist - but what I do know is that there is great danger in building so-called “scientific” algorithms based upon limited data sets and cherry-picked internal time lines. Worldwide, there are a lot of “scientists” whose livelihoods depend on their limited algorithm-based “settled science.” It can make one wonder. Factually, climate change science is not the product of the rigors of the scientific method used in the hard sciences such as physics, chemistry, etc. As various wags have put it over the years – there are “lies, damn lies, and statistics.”

Because of the tremendous amount of demanded change and resources being syphoned off by the “settled science” due to the “causes” of climate change, it is worth pondering with a jaundiced eye. Click the blue hyperlink below for a differing perspective. Make you own assessment.

Michael J Brown
m.j.brown@cox.net

Give me lots of numbers, comparing one variable to another in a complex (multiple variables) situation like weather and it is possible to prove many diverse theories.

This starts slowly with just one graphic but soon picks up.
We are being conned by huge pecuniary sources skulduggery going on somewhere...and the BS continues.

<https://youtu.be/8455KEDitpU>